

Dirac Lagrangian

Can we obtain Dirac eqn $(i\not{\partial} - \mu)\psi = 0$ as Euler-Lagrange eqn.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} \right) = 0 ?$$

Consider $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial} - \mu)\psi = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \mu \psi)$

where ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are independent (complex) fields.

What is $\bar{\psi}$? We'll see.
(Later we'll see how ψ & $\bar{\psi}$ are related.)

$$\text{Vary } \bar{\psi} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} \right) = 0$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} &= (i\not{\partial} - \mu)\psi \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow (i\not{\partial} - \mu)\psi = 0$$

Vary ψ

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} &= -\bar{\psi}\mu \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} &= \bar{\psi} i\gamma^\mu \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$-\bar{\psi}\mu - \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} i\gamma^\mu) = 0$$

$$\bar{\psi} (-\mu - i\gamma^\mu \overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu) = 0$$

$$\bar{\psi} (-i\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - \mu) = 0$$

Another way to derive this.

$$S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} - \mu) \psi = \int d^4x \bar{\psi} (-i \overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - \mu) \psi$$

↑
[IBP]

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} &= \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} - \mu) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \bar{\psi} (\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - \mu) = 0$$

Consider $0 = (\not{\partial} - \mu) \psi = i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \mu \psi$

Take hermitian conj. get

$$(\mu^* = \mu)$$

$$0 = -i (\partial_\mu \psi)^\dagger (\gamma^\mu)^\dagger - \psi^\dagger \mu$$

From HW: $(\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0$

$$0 = -i \psi^\dagger \overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} (\gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0) - \psi^\dagger \mu$$

Multiply on right by γ^0 & use $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1$

$$0 = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (-i \overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - \mu)$$

This suggests that $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$

$$\left[\text{In fact, one can show that } \int d^4x \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (\not{\partial} - \mu) \psi \right. \\ \left. \text{is Lorentz invariant, } \therefore \text{a suitable action} \right]$$

Invariance under space-time translation
 $\Rightarrow$ conserved energy, momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu}_c = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} \partial^\nu \bar{\psi} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}$$

$$= \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \psi + 0 - \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \underbrace{(i \not{\partial} - m)}_0 \psi$$

$$T^{\mu\nu}_c = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \psi$$

$$T^{\mu\nu}_c = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \psi$$

$$= \psi^\dagger i \partial^0 \psi$$

$$\mathcal{H} = T^{00} = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^0 \partial^0 \psi = i \psi^\dagger \dot{\psi}$$

Recall

$$\psi = \int \tilde{d}k \sum_s \left[a_s(k) u_{ks} e^{-ik \cdot x} + b_s^\dagger(k) v_{ks} e^{ik \cdot x} \right] \Big|_{k^0 = \omega_k}$$

$$i\psi^\dagger = \int \tilde{d}k \sum_s \left[i a_s^\dagger(k) u_{ks}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} + i b_s(k) v_{ks}^\dagger e^{-ik \cdot x} \right]$$

$$\dot{\psi} = \int \tilde{d}k \sum_s \left[-i\omega_k a_s(k) u_{ks} e^{-ik \cdot x} + i\omega_k b_s^\dagger(k) v_{ks} e^{ik \cdot x} \right]$$

$$H = \int d^3x \mathcal{H} = \int d^3x i\psi^\dagger \dot{\psi}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \sum_{s,s'} \left[\underbrace{\omega_{k'} a_{s'}^\dagger(k) a_{s'}(k')}_{(2\omega_{k'})\delta_{ss'}} \underbrace{u_{ks}^\dagger u_{k's'}}_{(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')} \int d^3x e^{i(k-k') \cdot x} \right. \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\omega_{k'} b_{s'}(k) b_{s'}^\dagger(k')}_{(2\omega_{k'})\delta_{ss'}} \underbrace{v_{ks}^\dagger v_{k's'}}_{(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')} \int d^3x e^{-i(k-k') \cdot x} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\omega_{k'} a_{s'}^\dagger(k) b_{s'}^\dagger(k')}_{u_{ks}^\dagger v_{-k's'}} \underbrace{u_{ks} v_{k's'}}_{(2\pi)^3 e^{i(\omega_k + \omega_{k'})t} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} + \vec{k}')} \int d^3x e^{i(k+k') \cdot x} \\ &\quad \left. + \omega_{k'} b_{s'}(k) a_{s'}(k') \underbrace{v_{ks}^\dagger u_{k's'}}_{v_{ks}^\dagger u_{-k's'}} \int d^3x e^{-i(k+k') \cdot x} \right] \\ &\quad (2\pi)^3 e^{-i(\omega_k + \omega_{k'})t} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} + \vec{k}') \end{aligned}$$

[HW = compute pi]

Consider

$$U_{ks}^\dagger V_{-k,s'} = \left(\sqrt{\omega - s/|k|} \chi_{ks}^\dagger, \sqrt{\omega + s/|k|} \chi_{ks}^\dagger \right) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\omega - s'/|k|} \chi_{-k,s'} \\ -\sqrt{\omega + s'/|k|} \chi_{-k,s'} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\sqrt{\omega - s/|k|} \sqrt{\omega - s'/|k|} - \sqrt{\omega + s/|k|} \sqrt{\omega + s'/|k|} \right) \chi_{ks} \chi_{-k,s'}$$

Now $\chi_{ks}^\dagger \chi_{ks'} = \delta_{ss'}$

but $\chi_{-k,s'} \sim \chi_{k,-s'}$ spin up w.r.t. $\vec{k}$ is spin down w.r.t. $-\vec{k}$

so $\chi_{ks} \chi_{-ks'} \neq 0$ iff $s' = -s$

If $s' = -s$, prefactor vanishes, so $U_{ks}^\dagger V_{-k,s'} = 0$

Similarly $V_{ks}^\dagger U_{-k,s'} = 0$

[Peskin-Schroeder 3.65]

Therefore

$$H = \int d^3k \sum_s \left[\omega_k a_s^\dagger(k) a_s(k) - \omega_k b_s(k) b_s^\dagger(k) \right]$$

This is not correct!

$$= \int d^3k \sum_s \left[\omega_k a_s^\dagger(k) a_s(k) - \omega_k \underbrace{[b_s(k), b_s^\dagger(k)]}_{\text{infinite zero point energy}} - \omega_k b_s^\dagger(k) b_s(k) \right]$$

[Houston, we have a problem!]

Experimentally, electrons (+ other $\text{spin} = \frac{1}{2}$ particles) obey Pauli exclusion principle (i.e. Fermi-Dirac statistics):
 can't have more than one fermion in same state.

$$|k, s\rangle = a_s^\dagger(k) |0\rangle = \text{fermion 7 mom. } \hbar k \text{ + helicity } s$$

$$|k, s; k', s'\rangle = a_s^\dagger(k) a_{s'}^\dagger(k') |0\rangle = 2 \text{ fermion state}$$

But $|k, s; k, s\rangle$ should be impossible.

In 1928, Jordan + Wigner proposed that creation operators for fermions anticommute w/ one another

$$a_s^\dagger(k) a_{s'}^\dagger(k') = - a_{s'}^\dagger(k') a_s^\dagger(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow |k, s; k', s'\rangle = - |k', s'; k, s\rangle$$

(antisymmetric under exchange)

$$\Rightarrow |k, s; k, s\rangle = - |k, s; k, s\rangle = 0.$$

Define anticommutator $\{P, Q\} = PQ + QP.$

$$\text{Thus } \{a_s^\dagger(k), a_{s'}^\dagger(k)\} = \{b_s^\dagger(k), b_{s'}^\dagger(k')\} = 0$$

$$\text{Also } \{a_s(k), a_{s'}^\dagger(k')\} = \{b_s(k), b_{s'}^\dagger(k')\} = 0$$

Instead of $[a, a^\dagger]$ and $[b, b^\dagger]$, we impose

$$\{a_s(k), a_{s'}^\dagger(k')\} = \{b_r(k), b_{r'}^\dagger(k')\} = \hbar(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_k)^{1/2} \delta^{(3)}(k-k') \delta_{ss'}$$

$$\{a_s(k), b_{s'}^\dagger(k')\} = \{a_s(k), b_{r'}^\dagger(k')\} = 0, \text{ etc.}$$

Thus

$$H = \int d\tilde{k} \sum_s \left[\omega_k a_s^\dagger(k) a_s(k) - \omega_k b_s(k) b_s^\dagger(k) \right]$$

$$= \int d\tilde{k} \sum_s \left[\omega_k a_s^\dagger(k) a_s(k) - \omega_k \underbrace{\{b_s(k), b_s^\dagger(k)\}}_{\hbar(2\omega_k)(\text{vol space})} + \omega_k b_s^\dagger(k) b_s(k) \right]$$

$$= \int d\tilde{k} \sum_s \omega_k \left[a_s^\dagger(k) a_s(k) + b_s^\dagger(k) b_s(k) \right] - \underbrace{\left(\frac{1^3 \hbar}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_s \hbar \omega_k \right)}_{\text{negative zero point energy}}$$

$\uparrow$ positive energy for both
degrees of freedom
(particle + antiparticle)

$\left(\frac{\hbar \omega_k}{2} \right)$ per degree of freedom
(i.e. particle + antiparticle)

[Perhaps, zero cosmological constant is result of
equal # of bosonic + fermionic degrees of freedom
 $\Rightarrow$ supersymmetry?]

Finally, let's check $[H, b_{s'}^\dagger(k')] = \hbar \omega_{k'} b_{s'}^\dagger(k')$

$$H b_{s'}^\dagger(k') = \int d^3k \sum_s \omega_k (a_s^\dagger(k) a_s(k) + b_s^\dagger(k) b_s(k)) b_{s'}^\dagger(k')$$

$$= b_{s'}^\dagger(k') \int d^3k \sum_s \omega_k (a_s^\dagger(k) a_s(k) + b_s^\dagger(k) b_s(k))$$

$$+ \int d^3k \sum_s \omega_k b_{s'}^\dagger(k) \{ b_s(k), b_{s'}^\dagger(k') \}$$

$$+ \hbar (2\pi)^3 (2\omega_k) \delta(k-k') \delta_{s,s'}$$

$$= b_{s'}^\dagger(k') H + \hbar \omega_{k'} b_{s'}^\dagger(k') \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{Thus } H |k, s\rangle = H b_s^\dagger(k) |0\rangle$$

$$= (b_s^\dagger(k) H + \hbar \omega_k b_s^\dagger(k)) |0\rangle$$

$$= 0 + \hbar \omega_k |k, s\rangle$$

$\therefore |k, s\rangle$ has energy $\hbar \omega_k$

Recall

L4-

$$\psi = \int d\vec{k} \sum_s [a_s(k) u_{ks} e^{-ik \cdot x} + b_s^\dagger(k) v_{ks} e^{ik \cdot x}]$$

$$\psi^\dagger = \int d\vec{k} \sum_s [a_s^\dagger(k) u_{ks}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} + b_s(k) v_{ks}^\dagger e^{-ik \cdot x}]$$

$$\{a_s(k), a_{s'}^\dagger(k')\} = \{b_s(k), b_{s'}^\dagger(k')\} = \hbar (2\pi)^3 (2\omega_k) \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \delta_{ss'}$$

All other anticommutators vanish

From this we may deduce equal time anticommutators

$$\{\psi(\vec{x}, t), \psi(\vec{x}', t)\} = 0$$

$$\{\psi^\dagger(\vec{x}, t), \psi^\dagger(\vec{x}', t)\} = 0$$

And (although we don't supply the details)

$$\{\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}, t), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{x}', t)\} = \hbar \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$$

(see next page)

Recall $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - \mu)\psi = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (i\gamma^0 \partial_0 + i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} - \mu) \psi$

$$\pi \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \psi)} = i\psi^\dagger (\gamma^0)^2 = i\psi^\dagger$$

$$\{\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}, t), \pi_\beta(\vec{x}', t)\} = i\hbar \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$$

analogous to $[\phi_i(\vec{x}, t), \pi_j(\vec{x}', t)] = i\hbar \delta_{ij} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$

[end of class presentation of spinor QFT]

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} (i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi$$

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \Psi)} = \bar{\Psi} i \gamma^0 = i \Psi^\dagger$$

If we require $\{ \Psi(x), \pi(x') \} = i \delta(x-x')$

$$\text{then } \{ \Psi(x), \Psi^\dagger(x') \} = \delta(x-x')$$

$$(\text{Really } \{ \Psi_\alpha(x), \Psi_\beta^\dagger(x') \} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(x-x').)$$

Let's see if this follows from
the anticommutation relations

$$\{ b_{ps}, b_{p's'}^\dagger \} = (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta(\vec{p}-\vec{p}') \delta_{ss'}$$

$$\{ d_{ps}, d_{p's'}^\dagger \} = (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta(\vec{p}-\vec{p}') \delta_{ss'}$$

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \int d\vec{p} \sum_s (b_{ps}(u_{ps})_\alpha e^{-ip \cdot x} + d_{ps}^\dagger (v_{ps})_\alpha e^{ip \cdot x})$$

$$\psi_\beta^\dagger(x') = \int d\vec{p}' \sum_{s'} (b_{p's'}^\dagger (u_{p's'}^\dagger)_\beta e^{ip' \cdot x'} + d_{p's'} (v_{p's'})_\beta e^{-ip' \cdot x'})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi_\alpha(x), \psi_\beta^\dagger(x')\} &= \int d\vec{p} d\vec{p}' \sum_{s,s'} [\{b_{ps}, b_{p's'}^\dagger\} (u_{ps})_\alpha (u_{p's'}^\dagger)_\beta e^{+i(p' \cdot x' - p \cdot x)} \\ &\quad + \{d_{ps}^\dagger, d_{p's'}\} (v_{ps})_\alpha (v_{p's'})_\beta e^{i(p \cdot x - p' \cdot x')}] \\ d\vec{p} &= \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} \quad (\vec{p} = \vec{p}' \Rightarrow \omega = \omega') \\ &= \int d\vec{p} \left[\underbrace{\sum_s (u_{ps})_\alpha (u_{ps}^\dagger)_\beta}_{\frac{(\not{p} + m)\gamma^0}{E - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \gamma^0 + m\gamma^0}} e^{ip \cdot (x' - x)} + \underbrace{\sum_s (v_{ps})_\alpha (v_{ps}^\dagger)_\beta}_{\frac{(\not{p} - m)\gamma^0}{E - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \gamma^0 - m\gamma^0}} e^{ip \cdot (x - x')} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$ in 2nd term

$$= \int d\vec{p} \left[(E - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \gamma^0 + m\gamma^0) e^{iE(t-t')} + (E + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \gamma^0 - m\gamma^0) e^{iE(t-t')} \right] e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x})}$$

Now if $t = t'$ then $\vec{p} + m$ terms drop out

$$= \int d\vec{p} 2E e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x})} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 (2E)} (2E) e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x})}$$

$$= \delta(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad QED$$

(2-15-17)

Extra

additional verification (after course in 2016 completed)

(1)

Completeness.

(cf. Peskin & Schroeder, p. 48 for slightly different approach)

$$\text{Recall } \chi_{p+} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix} \quad \chi_{p-} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi} \\ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\chi_{p+}^\dagger \chi_{p+} + \chi_{p-}^\dagger \chi_{p-} = \begin{pmatrix} c & se^{i\phi} \\ se^{-i\phi} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & se^{-i\phi} \\ -se^{i\phi} & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -se^{-i\phi} & -se^{i\phi} & c \\ c & c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c^2 - s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & c^2 + s^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{1}$$

$$\chi_{p-}^\dagger \chi_{p+} - \chi_{p+}^\dagger \chi_{p-} = \begin{pmatrix} c^2 - s^2 & 2sc e^{-i\phi} \\ 2sc e^{i\phi} & s^2 - c^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \cos \phi - i \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ \sin \theta \cos \phi + i \sin \theta \sin \phi & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{p_z}{p} \sigma_z + \frac{p_x}{p} \sigma_x + \frac{p_y}{p} \sigma_y$$

$$= \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$$

(2)

Recall $u_{ps} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E-s|\vec{p}|} \chi_{ps} \\ \sqrt{E+s|\vec{p}|} \chi_{ps} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\sum_s u_{ps} u_{ps}^\dagger = \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} (E-s|\vec{p}|) \chi_{ps} \chi_{ps}^\dagger & \sqrt{(E-s|\vec{p}|)(E+s|\vec{p}|)} \chi_{ps} \chi_{ps}^\dagger \\ \sqrt{(E-s|\vec{p}|)(E+s|\vec{p}|)} \chi_{ps} \chi_{ps}^\dagger & (E+s|\vec{p}|) \chi_{ps} \chi_{ps}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} E\mathbb{1} - |\vec{p}| \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|} & m\mathbb{1} \\ m\mathbb{1} & E\mathbb{1} + |\vec{p}| \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_s u_{ps} u_{ps}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} E - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} & m \\ m & E + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_s u_{ps} \bar{u}_{ps} = \sum_s u_{ps} u_{ps}^\dagger \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} E - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} & m \\ m & E + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m & E - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \\ E + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} & m \end{pmatrix}$$

But $\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ so this is $m + E\gamma^0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} = \not{p} + m$

$$v_{ps} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E-s|\vec{p}|} \chi_{ps} \\ -\sqrt{E+s|\vec{p}|} \chi_{ps} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_s v_{ps} v_{ps}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} E - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} & -m \\ -m & E + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \sum_s v_{ps} \bar{v}_{ps} = \begin{pmatrix} -m & E - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \\ E + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} & -m \end{pmatrix} = \not{p} - m$$

$$\sum_s u_{ps} \bar{u}_{ps} = \not{p} + m$$

$$\sum_s v_{ps} \bar{v}_{ps} = \not{p} - m$$

(11-30-22)

$$H = \int dk [-\omega b b^\dagger]$$

Now if $[b, b^\dagger] = \hbar(2\pi)^2 (2\omega) \delta(k-k')$
this would imply that

$$[H, b^\dagger] = -\omega [b, b^\dagger] b^\dagger = -\hbar\omega b^\dagger$$

thus the creation op would lower the energy.

However we know that these eqs give

$$[\psi, H] = i\partial_t \psi$$

and so $\psi \sim \int dk [b^\dagger e^{ikx}]$

implies $[b^\dagger, H] \sim -\hbar\omega b^\dagger$

or $[H, b^\dagger] = \hbar\omega b^\dagger$

The result is that $[\psi, H] = i\partial_t \psi$

implies $[b, b^\dagger] = -\hbar(2\pi)^2 (2\omega) \delta(k-k')$

But now if $\langle 0|0 \rangle = 1$

then $\langle k|k \rangle = \langle 0|b b^\dagger|0 \rangle < 0$

ie states have negative norm!

(10-31-22)

5.0

Why do we need to use anticommutators for the Dirac theory?

It boils down to the form of $\mathcal{L}$ as a first-order expression

$$\text{ie } \mathcal{L} \sim \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} - m) \psi$$

Peskin + Schroeder + Coleman ^(also Tong) are the only authors I know of who seriously attempt to quantize Dirac using commutators to see out what is wrong. ^{who seems to use Coleman approach (+ b, c† notation)}

Peskin + Schroeder parametrize $\psi \sim a e^{-ip \cdot x} + b e^{ip \cdot x}$

$$\text{They give } [a, a^\dagger] \sim [b, b^\dagger] > 0 \quad (\text{eq 3.88})$$

but then b is a raising operator while a is a lowering operator

$$([\psi, H] = i \partial_t \psi \Rightarrow [a, H] = -Ea, [b, H] = +Eb)$$

$\therefore$ with $a^\dagger$ & $b^\dagger$ acting on vacuum lower the energy, which is unbounded below

Coleman parametrize $\psi \sim a e^{-ip \cdot x} + b^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x}$

but while a & b are the both lowering ops, we get $[a, a^\dagger] > 0$ but $[b, b^\dagger] < 0$ (as P+S point out)

$$\text{Then } \langle 0 | b b^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \langle k | k \rangle < 0 \quad (\text{assuming } \langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1)$$

So no longer have positive-definiteness of norm

(20/17)

Why do we need anticommutators? (Peskin/Schroeder p.52)

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} (i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi$$

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \Psi)} = i \bar{\Psi} \gamma^0 = i \Psi^\dagger$$

If $[\Psi(x), \pi(x')] = i \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$ then

$$[\Psi_\alpha(x), \Psi_\beta^\dagger(x')] = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

General solution of Dirac eqn

$$\Psi(x) = \int d\vec{p} \sum_s (a_{ps} u_{ps} e^{-ip \cdot x} + b_{ps} v_{ps} e^{ip \cdot x})$$

$$\Psi^\dagger(x) = \int d\vec{p} \sum_s (a_{ps}^\dagger u_{ps}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} + b_{ps}^\dagger v_{ps}^\dagger e^{-ip \cdot x})$$

Let us assume

$$[a_{ps}, a_{p's'}^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 (2m) \delta(p-p') \delta_{ss'}$$

$$[b_{ps}, b_{p's'}^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 (2m) \delta(p-p') \delta_{ss'}$$

$$[a_{ps}, b_{p's'}^\dagger] = 0$$

$$[b_{ps}, a_{p's'}^\dagger] = 0$$

$$a_p(t) = a_p e^{-iEt}$$

$$\text{But } [H, b] = -i\dot{b} \text{ so}$$

$$b_p(t) = b_p e^{iEt}$$

$$[H, a] = -Ea$$

$$[H, b] = Eb$$

a = annihilation

b = creation

we calculate b.c.

Now

$$H = \int d^3x \quad i\psi^\dagger \partial^0 \psi$$

$$\psi^\dagger(x) = \int d\vec{p}' \sum_s (a_{p's'}^\dagger u_{p's'}^\dagger e^{ip' \cdot x} + b_{p's'}^\dagger v_{p's'}^\dagger e^{-ip' \cdot x})$$

$$\partial^0 \psi(x) = \int d\vec{p} \sum_s (E a_{ps} u_{ps} e^{-ip \cdot x} - E b_{ps} v_{ps} e^{ip \cdot x})$$

$$H = \sum_{s,s'} \int d\vec{p}' d\vec{p} \left[E a_{p's'}^\dagger a_{ps} u_{p's'}^\dagger u_{ps} \int d^3x e^{i(p'-p) \cdot x} \rightarrow (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{p}-\vec{p}') \right. \\ + E b_{p's'}^\dagger a_{ps} v_{p's'}^\dagger u_{ps} \int d^3x e^{-i(p'+p) \cdot x} \rightarrow (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{p}+\vec{p}') e^{-2iEt} \\ - E a_{p's'}^\dagger b_{ps} u_{p's'}^\dagger v_{ps} \int d^3x e^{(p'-p) \cdot x} \rightarrow (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{p}'-\vec{p}) e^{2iEt} \\ \left. - E b_{p's'}^\dagger b_{ps} v_{p's'}^\dagger v_{ps} \int d^3x e^{i(p-p') \cdot x} \rightarrow (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{p}-\vec{p}') \right]$$

= (see p. 44-45)

$$H = \sum_s \int d\vec{p} [E a_{ps}^\dagger a_{ps} - E b_{ps}^\dagger b_{ps}]$$

But then

$$[H, b] = [-E b^\dagger b, b] = -E [b^\dagger, b] b = -Eb$$

rather than $-Eb$ as expected for lowering of

$$[H, b^\dagger] = [-E b^\dagger b, b^\dagger] = -E b^\dagger [b, b^\dagger] = -E b^\dagger$$

rather than $E b^\dagger$ as expected for raising of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{whereas} \\ [H, a] &= [E a^\dagger a, a] = E [a^\dagger, a] a = -Ea \\ [H, a^\dagger] &= [E a^\dagger a, a^\dagger] = E a^\dagger [a, a^\dagger] = Ea^\dagger \end{aligned} \right\} \text{as expected}$$

At this point, consider switching to anticommutators.
 let's keep both possibilities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or } [a, a^\dagger]_{\mp} &= 1 \\ [b, b^\dagger]_{\mp} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [AB, C]_{\mp} &= ABC - CAB = A[B, C]_{\mp} \pm (ACB \mp CAB) \\ &= A[B, C]_{\mp} \pm [A, C]_{\mp} B \end{aligned}$$

The

$$[H, a]_{\mp} = E[a^\dagger a, a]_{\mp} = \pm E \underbrace{[a^\dagger, a]_{\mp}}_{\mp [a, a^\dagger]} a = -Ea$$

$$[H, a^\dagger]_{\mp} = E[a^\dagger a, a^\dagger]_{\mp} = Ea^\dagger [a, a^\dagger]_{\mp} = Ea^\dagger$$

correct either way!

$$[H, b]_{\mp} = -E[b^\dagger b, b]_{\mp} = \mp E \underbrace{[b^\dagger, b]_{\mp}}_{\mp [b, b^\dagger]_{\mp}} b = -Eb$$

$$[H, b^\dagger]_{\mp} = -E[b^\dagger b, b^\dagger]_{\mp} = -Eb^\dagger [b, b^\dagger]_{\mp} = -Eb^\dagger$$

incorrect either way!

ie it looks like b is a raising operator & $b^\dagger$ is lowering op

Let's try relabeling $b \leftrightarrow b^\dagger$ throughout

Then
$$\psi(x) = \int d\vec{p} \sum_s [a_{ps} u_{ps} e^{-i p \cdot x} + b_{ps}^\dagger v_{ps} e^{i p \cdot x}]$$

$$[\psi, \psi^\dagger]_{\mp} = \delta(x-x') \delta_{\lambda 0} \Rightarrow [b_{ps}^\dagger, b_{p's'}]_{\mp} = (2\pi)^3 (2\omega) \delta(p-p') \delta_{ss'}$$

$$\approx [b_{ps}, b_{p's'}^\dagger]_{\mp} = \mp (2\pi)^3 (2\omega) \delta(p-p') \delta_{ss'}$$

Also
$$H = \sum_s \int d\vec{p} [E a_{ps}^\dagger a_{ps} - E b_{ps} b_{ps}^\dagger]$$

Finally
$$\begin{aligned} [H, b^\dagger]_- &= E b^\dagger \\ [H, b]_- &= -E b \end{aligned}$$

so this looks good either way!

as we can easily verify:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} [H, b^\dagger]_- &= -E [b b^\dagger, b^\dagger]_- = \mp E [b, b^\dagger]_{\mp} b^\dagger = E b^\dagger \\ [H, b]_- &= -E [b b^\dagger, b] = -E b [b^\dagger, b]_{\mp} = -E b \end{aligned} \right.$$

more directly $[H, a] = i\partial_t a$ for both commutators + anticommutators
 $\Rightarrow [H, a] = -E a, [H, b] = -E b$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} a_p(t) = a_p e^{-iEt} \quad b_p^\dagger(t) = b_p^\dagger e^{-iEt} \\ [H, a] = -E a \quad [H, b^\dagger] = E b^\dagger \end{array} \right]$$

why must we choose anticommutators then?

(2022) Because $[b, b^\dagger] = -(2\pi)^3 (2\omega) \delta(p-p')$

imply ~~that~~ $b^\dagger|0\rangle$ has negative norm! (relative to $|0\rangle$ & $a^\dagger|0\rangle$)

$$\langle 0|b b^\dagger|0\rangle = \langle 0|[b, b^\dagger]_{\mp}|0\rangle = \mp \langle 0|0\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{for commutator}}$$