Algorithms Lab 3

1 Review

Topics covered this week:

- heaps and heapsort
- started quicksort

Review the lecture notes and work through the exercises handed out in class.

2 Homework

The homework problems are due on Friday 2/17.

Collaboration policy: You are allowed and encourged to discuss the problems with a group of peers, assuming this helps you learn. Keep the group small (groups of at most 3 people) and write your solutions individually.

Grading: The assignment will be evaluated based not only on the final assignment, but also on clarity, neatness and attention to details.

Writing: Please write each problem on a separate sheet of paper, and write your name on each sheet. The problems will be graded by different TAs.

- 1. What is the minimum and maximum number of elements in a heap of height h? Use this to prove a tight bound for the height of a heap of n elements.
- 2. Come up with an algorithm that finds the kth smallest element in a a set of n distinct integers in $O(n + k \lg n)$ time.
- 3. (C-4.9) Suppose we are given a sequence S of n elements, each of which is colored red or blue. Assuming S is represented as an array, give an in-place method for ordering S so that all blue elements are listed before all the red elements.
- 4. (CLRS 8-2) Suppose we have an array of n data records to sort and that the key of each record has the value 0 or 1. An algorithm for sorting such a set of records might possess some subset of the following three desirable characteristics:
 - (1) The algorithm runs in O(n) time.
 - (2) The algorithm is stable.
 - (3) The algorithm sorts in place, using no more than a constant amount of storage space in addition to the original array.

- (a) Give an algorithm that satisfies (1) and (2) above.
- (b) Give an algorithm that satisfies (1) and (3) above.
- (c) Give an algorithm that satisfies (2) and (3) above.
- (d) How would you extend your algorithm from (b) to handle the case when the values are 0, 1 or 2; that is, you want to sort in place in O(n) time.
- 5. (CLRS 7-3) Professors Dewey, Cheatham, and Howe have proposed the following "elegant" sorting algorithm:

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{Stooge-Sort}(A,i,j) \\ & \text{if } A[i] > A[j] \\ & \text{then exchange } A[i] \leftrightarrow A[j] \\ & \text{if } i+1 \geq j \\ & \text{then return} \\ & k \leftarrow \lfloor (j-i+1)/3 \rfloor \\ & \text{Stooge-Sort}(A,i,j-k) \\ & \text{Stooge-Sort}(A,i,j-k) \\ & \text{Stooge-Sort}(A,i,j-k) \end{aligned}
```

a. Argue that Stooge-Sort(A, 1, length[A]) correctly sorts the input array A[1..n], where n = length[A].

Hint: Argue that it sorts correctly any array of 1 or 2 elements. Then assume that it sorts sorrectly any array of 2n/3 elements, and argue that this implies that it sorts correctly any array of n elements (What is true after the first recursive call? After the second?)

- **b.** Give a recurrence for the worst-case running time of STOOGE-SORT and a tight asymptotic $(\Theta$ -notation) bound on the worst-case running time.
- **c.** Compare the worst-case running time of Stooge-Sort with that of insertion sort, merge sort, heapsort, and quicksort. Do the professors deserve tenure?
- 6. (CLRS 6.5-9) Assume you have k sorted lists containing a total of n elements, and you want to merge them together in a single (sorted) list containing all n elements. For simplicity you may assume that the k lists contain the same number of elements.
 - (a) Approach 1: merge list 1 with list 2, then merge the result with list 3, then merge the result with list 4, and so on. What is the worst-case running time?
 - (b) Approach 2: split the k lists into two halves, merge each one recursively, then use the standard 2-way merge procedure (from mergesort) to combine the two halves. What is the worst-case running time?
 - (c) Give another approach (to merge the k lists) that uses a heap, and runs in $O(n \lg k)$ -time.